OpenMP in the Works July 4, 2007, Jülich

11111 111

11111 11111 14

16426 24415 22200

III

Dieter an Mey, Christian Terboven, Samuel Sarholz, Alex Spiegel Center for Computing and Communication RWTH Aachen University, Germany

COLUMN STREET, STREET,

www.rz.rwth-aachen.de anmey@rz.rwth-aachen.de

Motivation

How Many Cores Are You Coding For? (2) "Software and the Concurrency Revolution" Herb Sutter, Sep 25, 2006 512 🗕 InO - threads Slides and video 🗕 InO - cores the truth is somewhere in here http://www.gotw.ca/ ---- OoO - cores onetime 256 256 16x? You are 128 128 here 64 64 32 32 32 16 8 169 8 2006 2007 2009 2010 2013 2008 2011 2012 8

OpenMP in the Works, July 4, 2007

Center fo

Computing and Communicatio

Motivation

Burton Smith in "Reinventing Computing" during the ISC last week:

- use multithreaded cores to tolerate memory latency
- when latency increases, increase the number of threads
- We need to support multiple programming styles
 - both functional and transactional
 - data and task parallel
 - message passing and shared memeory
 - declarative and imperative
 - implicit and explicit
- Consequences for HPC
 - Routine combining of shared memory and message passing
- HPC will need to be reinvented along with everything else



OpenMP in the Works - Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



Loop Level Parallelization PANTA 3D Navier-Stokes Solver

- 121 routines with 18497 Fortran lines are considered for auto-parallelization
- out of these 5 routines with 2799 Fortran lines have been parallelized manually with 132
 OpenMP directives (incl. cont.) and
- another 11 routines have been auto-parallelized with Visual KAP introducing 608 OpenMP directives.
- 1389 variables had to be scoped manually
- Could be reduced to 13 with Autoscoping !
- Speedup: 3 with 4 threads



Hans Thermann, Bernd Wickerath, Daniel Grates, Stephan Schmidt, Volmar, T., Brouillet, B., Gallus, H.E., Benetschik, H., Institute for Turbomachinery, RWTH Aachen University



PANTA – Autoscoping a parallel loop (part 1)



PANTA– Autoscoping performance comparison



Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) of Turbulences

Lipo Wang, Institut für Technische Verbrennung, RWTH Aachen University

A combination of

- Sun Performance Library a special version of the 3D FFT routines has been parallelized upon request
- Autoparallelization
 Sun Fortran Compiler
- OpenMP directives
 Sun Fortran Compiler

leads to good scalability.





Heat Flow Simulation with FEM - ThermoFlow60

Thomas Haarmann, Wolfgang Koschel, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, RWTH Aachen University

- simulation of the heat flow in a rocket combustion chamber
- Finite Element Method
 - 200,000 cells
 - 230 MB memory footprint
 - 29000 lines of Fortran
- OpenMP Parallelelization
 - ~ 200 OpenMP directives
 - 69 parallel loops
 - 1 main parallel region (orphaning)
 - Explicite worksharing by precalculating loop limits to improve load balancing
- Time for production run cut down from 2 weeks to 1 day on 16 CPUs and to 9 hours on a 72 CPUs





Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



DROPS: Introduction

- Numerical simulation of two-phase flow
- The two-phase flow is modeled by the instationary and non-linear Navier-Stokes equation
- So-called level set function is used to describe the interface between the two phases
- DROPS is written in C++ (object-oriented, templates, STL, compile-time polymorphism, nested solvers with its preconditioners, smoothers etc.)

- (Adaptive) Tetrahedral Grid Hierarchy
- Finite Element Method (FEM)

Example: Silicon oil drop in D₂O (fluid/fluid)





DROPS: Nested Solvers

- Time integration by fractional step method
- Fixed point iteration for the decoupled Navier-Stokes and the advection equations for the level set function
- Fixed point iteration for non-linear convection term in the Navier-Stokes equations
- Stokes solvers: Uzawa, Schur, MinRes, GMRES
- Inner solvers for Poisson-type problems.
 - preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG)
 - multi-grid (MG)
- Preconditioners / smoothers: Jacobi or SSOR



The GMRES and PCG solvers were employed and parallelized in this work



DROPS: Parallelization



OpenMP and C++

- A clean C++ object oriented coding style may be very helpful for OpenMP parallelization:
 - Encapsulation prohibits unintended data dependencies and thus simplifies data dependency analysis
 - Class members are typically local and therefore private by default
 which leads to a good locality in combination with first touch policy
 - (static variables would have to be protected by critical regions)
- BUT: there are several issues with OpenMP and C++
 - Compilers (may) have problems with OpenMP C++ codes
 - Non-POD types not well support (privatization, reduction)
 - Parallelization of STL Iterator loops not directly possible
 - Several issues with writing parallel libraries with OpenMP
- Still the combination works in practice, as we can see now ...



VRFEM: Realtime FEM

- VRFEM: Lenka Jerabkova, Center for Computing and Communication
- Physically based simulation is an indispensable component of many interactive virtual environments. Main challenge: realtime requirement.
- Higher computational costs than methods typically used e.g. in computer games.
- Realtime cannot be achieved using sequential approaches ... performance improvement of single thread slows to increase





VRFEM: Speedup on Multicore

- The presented algorithm has been parallelized with focus on recent multicore architectures:
 - Red bar: realtime requirement, only reached on two-socket quadcore (Clovertown) system (still Pizza box)



Bar: Implicit Method (local)

Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



FIRE: Nested Parallelization with OpenMP



• FIRE = Flexible Image Retrival Engine (written in C++) *Thomas Deselaers, Daniel Keysers, RWTH I6 (computer science 6):*

Human Language Technology and Pattern Recognition

- compare the performance of common features on different databases
- analysis of correlation of different features





FIRE: Nested Parallelization with OpenMP

$$D(Q,X) := \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \cdot d_m(Q_m, X_m)$$

- Q: query image, X: set of database images
- Q_m , X_m : m-th feature of Q and X
- d_m: distance measure, w_m: weighting coefficient
- Return the k images with lowest distance to query image
- Well-suited for shared memory parallelization because of large image database
- 3 Levels to employ parallelization:
 - Process multiple query images in parallel
 - Process database comparison for one query image in parallel
 - Computation of distances might be parallelized as well



FIRE: Nested OpenMP improves scalability

Speedup of FIRE	Sun Fire E25K, 72 dual-core UltraSPARC-IV processors								
# Threads	Only outer level	Only inner level	Nested OpenMP						
4		3.8							
8		7.6							
16	14.8	14.1	15.4						
32	29.6	28.9	30.6						
72	56.5		67.6						
144			133.3						

- How can Nested OpenMP improve the scalability?
 - Some synchronization for output ordering on the higher level
 - OpenMP implementation overhead increases over-linear with the number of threads
 - Dataset might better fit to the number of threads



NestedCP: Parallel Critical Point Extraction

- Virtual reality: Analysis of large-scale flow simulations
 - Feature extraction from raw data
 - Interactive analysis in virtual environment (e.g. a cave)
- Critical point: point in the vector field where the velocity is zero.



Andreas Gerndt Virtual Reality Center Aachen



- Algorithm for critical point extraction:
 - Loop over the time steps of unsteady datasets
 - Loop over the blocks of multi-block datasets
 - Loop checking the cells within the blocks





The time needed to check different cells may vary considerably!

Solution in OpenMP is simple:

```
#pragma omp parallel for num threads(nTimeThreads) \
                      schedule(dynamic,1)
for (cutT = 1; curT <= maxT; ++curT)</pre>
#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(nBlockThreads) \
                     schedule(dynamic,1)
   for (curB = 1; curB <= maxB; ++curB)</pre>
#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(nCellThreads) \
                     schedule(guided)
      for (curC = 1; curC <= maxC; ++curC)</pre>
      Ł
         findCriticalPoints(curT, curB, curC);
                   OpenMP in the Works, July 4, 2007
24
```

- The achievable speedup heavily depends on the selected dataset
- No load imbalance \rightarrow almost perfect scalability can be achieved
- Speedup on Sun Fire E25K, 72 dual-core UltraSPARC-IV processors with 128 threads:
 - Without load-balancing: 10.3 static scheduling
 - Dynamic / Guided 33.9 Time = 4, Block = 4, Cell = 32
 - Dynamic / Guided (Sun extension) 55.3
 Weight factor = 20



- High speed-up
 - Nearly optimal mainly by time-level parallelization
 - T32, B1, C4: 125.30
 - Block sizes considerably larger
 - Dataset shows good balancing
 - Static case only 8% worse



Fig.: Speed-up; Shock Dataset; automatic load balancing





TFS - nested parallelization with OpenMP

• **TFS** models human nasal flow for computer aided surgery

Ingolf Hörschler, Aerodynamic Institute, RWTH Aachen Steve Johnson, Cos Ierotheou, Parallel Software Products

Medical Context



 Require an efficient OpenMP version of TFS code which contains ~20000 lines in 141 routines with 583 DO loops

TFS

- **TFS** has been tuned for <u>vectorization</u> (performs excellent on NEC SX8)
- Loop level parallelization delivers speedup of 5-6 using 8 threads (US IV)
- MPI on <u>block level</u> would be laborious because of complex geometry
- Also, blocks differ heavily in size => load imbalance



- ParaWiseToolkit for (semi-) automatic parallelization of Fortran codes
 - Global static program analysis, generation of OpenMP directives
 - Profile feedback,
 - Expert system (GUI) to assist user for further improvement of parallelization



ParaWise/CAPO by Parallel Software Products www.parallelsp.com

Scope: All Routines Loop Filter: Totally Seri

- Toolkit for (semi-) automatic parallelization of Fortran codes
- Global static program analysis
- Generation of OpenMP directives (CAPO, NASA Ames)
- Runtime analysis
- Expert system (GUI) to assist user to give feed-back for further improvement of parallelization

		ParaWise: 2.5Beta-nasa (023) [nose_full.dbs]
	File v View v Edit v	$\underbrace{Links\; v} \left(\underbrace{Analyzer}_{Nalyzer} \right) \underbrace{Partitioner}_{Canerator} \left(\underbrace{Properties}_{Help\; v} \right)$
	CAPO: Direc	tives Browser
Sub Filter: All aduction peline opyIn/Out ser Defined	141 Routhnes: 132	Parallel loops exploited using directives: ARRM0V:1/1/33: D0 12 IB=1, MACB, 1 AUSM:1/1/65: D0 21 I= (N0+(IFLEM(IX)-1)*NI(1, IX)+IFLB(IX2)*NI(2 AUSM:2/1/77: D0 22 ID=1, ND0, 1 AUSM:2/1/282: D0 31 I= (N0+IFLEM(IX)*NI(1, IX)+IFLB(IX2)*NI(2, IX) AUSM:5/1/282: D0 41 ID=1, ND0, 1 bcflux:2/1/91: do 21 IS1=1, 2*NDX, 1 BOUND:6/4/135: do j=ibwi(ip+1), ibwi(ip+2), 1 BOUND:14/4/201: do 20031 j=ibwi(ip+1), ibwi(ip+2), 1 COPARP Scope: 141 Routines: 1 Parallel
Routine Duplicati Dynamic Analysis ULE (STATIC) (ULE (STATIC)) NI (EK. 1))	ion) (Wh i) Use III (1., TK) + IFLB (TK2) =NI (2., TK) + IFLB	iv) All Routines UINIT er Loop Region Filter: UINIT All With Single PDO VISC with Single PDO WPPOUT VPRK With Multiple DOs WFRTTB WRITCA
NT(IX.1)) 2 *DS(I)/(DS(I+1 (I)/(DS(IP)+DS(I 2 *DS(I)/(DS(I-1 7 +DS(I)/(DS(I-1))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	()+BS(T))++2 ())++2+P2B1 ()+DS(T))++2 CAPO: Why Directive ()+IFLB(IX3)+NI(3, I Type: Chos	Any Not Matched WRITDA Why Current Routine: UPVIND 19 : [SOMP PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED) PRIVATE (IX. FRHO. I) 20 : [SOMP SHARED (NDHS, NDPO, NDJ, NDJ, NDJ, NDX, 21 : [SOMP DO SCHEDULE (STATIC) 22 : D0 II I=1, NMX, 1 24 : C OLUMN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
Ilel loop exploited bles Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha	without copyin/out variables ared variables D0 D5 DX EFLUX EFS EFSL EFSM F0 Hints: Parallel loop 66 private variables	<pre>n varial 24 :0 CALCULATION OF THE NON-CONSERVATIVE VARIABLES 25 :0 STORE 26 :0 WIN DUMM1(1) 27 :0 UIN DUMM1(2), 28 :0 VIN DUMM1(2), 28 :0 VIN DUMM1(3), 29 :0 VIN DUMM1(4) AND 30 :0 RH0+E IN DUMM1(5) 31 :0 32 : FRH0-F1/Q(1,1) 33 : DUMM1(1,1)=Q(1,1) 34 : DUMM1(1,1)=Q(1,1) 35 : DUMM1(1,3)=Q(1,3)*RH0 36 : DUMM1(1,3)=Q(1,3)*RH0 36 : DUMM1(1,3)=Q(1,3)*RH0 37 : DUMM1(1,3)=Q(1,3)*RH0 38 :0 9 :11 CONTINUE 40 :!SOMP END D0 41 :!GAP Somp end parallel d0 42 :0 43 :0 COMPUTE UPWIND TERMS FOR ALL DIRECTIONS 44 : D0 21 IX-1,NDX,1 45 : CALL AUXM(X,DX,FJ,Q,FQ,RHS,DUMM1,DUMM1(1,NDQ+1), 0,NDPQ,NDRHS,MMAX,NDX,IX) 46 :21 CONTINUE 47 :!SOMP END DARALLEL </pre>

Center for

Computing and Communication

TFS Speedup



Navier-Stokes Solver FLOWer

- Navier-Stokes-Solver FLOWer (DLR=German Aerospace Center)
- PHOENIX, a small scale prototype of the space launch vehicle HOPPER designed to take off horizontally and glide back to earth after placing its cargo in orbit.



Birgit Reinartz, Michael Hesse, Laboratory of Mechanics, RWTH Aachen University

MPI + autoparallelization => hybrid

DTB Library to automatically adjust number of threads to improve load balance of MPI version.



FLOWer - Vampir Timeline Display (zoomed) 10 x 2 Processors





FLOWer - Manual Load Balancing 10x1+5 Processors



FLOWer - Dynamic Thread Balancing 23x2 Processors



FLOWer - Dynamic Thread Balancing 23x2 Processors



Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



FVA346 - Contact Analysis of Bevel Gears

Bevel Gear Pair



Differential Gear



Laboratory for Machine Tools and Production Engineering, RWTH Aachen University



Tuning and Parallelization of a Fortran90 Application for Windows

Target

Pentium/Windows/Intel → Opteron/Windows/Intel + OpenMP + tuning

Procedure

- UltraSPARC IV / Solaris / SunStudio
- Etnus **TotalView on** : Porting (~ 2x2 hours)
- Simulog **Foresys**: Fortran77 \rightarrow Fortran 90 (90,000 lines of code)
- Sun Analyzer: Runtime analysis
- OpenMP-Parallelization (~ 2 days incl. serial tuning, 5 PR, 70 directives)
- Intel Compiler on Linux
- Intel ThreadChecker: Verification of OpenMP version
- Opteron/Windows/Intel



Performance (Mflop/s)





Overview

- Loop-Level Parallelization in Fortran
 - Autoscoping
 - Combining Autoparallelization, OpenMP, Sun Performance Library
 - Pushing Loop-Level Parallelization to the Limit
- C++ and OpenMP
 - DROPS
 - Realtime FEM for VR
- Nested Parallelization
 - Pattern Recognition
 - Critical Points
 - TFS parallelized with Parawise by PSP
 - Dynamic Thread Balancing for MPI+OMP
- OpenMP Tools / OpenMP on Windows
- CMP / CMT



Sun Fire T2000 – Eight Cores x Four Threads



OpenMP in the Works, July 4, 2007

Center for

Sparse "Pseudo"-Matrix Vector Multiplication (long long int)

Top: Sunfire T2000 (1 x 8-core multithreaded Niagara, 1 GHz) Bottom: Sunfire 2900 (12 x 2-core Ultrasparc IV, 1,2 GHz)







						TIC	3		nostre	inflow throat	1		(0
			BevelGears			ThermoFlow				TFS		DROPS (sM		
	OpenMP Threads	Sockets- Chips- Cores- Threads	Runtime [sec]	Speedup	Eff.	Runtime [sec]	Speedup	Eff.	Runtime [sec]	Speedup	Eff.	Speed [Mflop/s]	Speedup	Eff.
	1	1-1-1-1	276.33	1.00	100	66.4	1.0	100	33.6	1.0	100	141.0	1.00	100
USIV	2	1-1-2-1	161.62	1.71	85	42.8	1.55	78	18.8	1.79	90	274.8	1.95	97
1.2	2	2-1-1-1	162.95	1.70	85	42.2	1.57	79	18.9	1.78	89	243.7	1.73	86
	4	2-1-2-1	90.87	3.04	76	28.9	2.30	58	11.4	2.95	74	423.8	3.01	75
	1	1-1-1-1	57.96	1.00	100	29.2	1.0	100	14.3	1.0	100	371.8	1.00	100
Opteron	2	1-1-2-1	34.91	1.66	83	20.7	1.41	71	11.6	1.23	62	643.5	1.73	87
2.2	2	2-1-1-1	34.79	1.67	83	18.5	1.58	79	11.6	1.23	62	721.6	1.94	97
	4	2-1-2-1	20.25	2.86	72	13.6	2.15	54	8.7	1.64	41	1200.0	3.23	81
Wood- crest 3.0	1	1-1-1-1	36.02	1.00	100	20.1	1.0	100	10.0	1.0	100	557.4	1.00	100
	2	1-1-2-1	21.73	1.66	83	16.1	1.25	63	8.0	1.25	63	643.5	1.15	58
	2	2-1-1-1	21.71	1.66	83	15.0	1.34	67	6.0	1.67	84	872.9	1.57	78
	4	2-1-2-1	14.64	2.46	62	13.0	1.55	39	5.0	2.0	50	951.3	1.71	43
	1	1-1-1-1	48.10	1.00	100	23.1	1.00	100	11.10	1.00	100	495.2	1.00	100
	2	1-1-2-1	28.10	1.71	86	19.0	1.22	61	9.10	1.22	61	563.9	1.14	57
Clover town 2.66	2	1-2-1-1	28.10	1.71	86	17.0	1.36	68	7.00	1.59	79	764.3	1.54	77
	2	2-1-1-1	28.00	1.72	86	17.1	1.35	68	8.00	1.39	69	622.5	1.26	63
	4	1-2-2-1	16.10	2.99	75	14.1	1.64	41	6.00	1.85	46	902.9	1.82	46
	4	2-1-2-1	16.10	2.99	75	15.1	1.53	38	7.10	1.56	39	632.6	1.28	32
	4	2-2-1-1	16.47	2.92	73	13.1	1.76	44	4.10	2.71	68	807.9	1.63	41
	8	2-2-2-1	10.00	4.81	60	13.0	1.78	22	4.10	2.71	34	913.2	1.84	23
													\frown	
					Parallel Efficiency >80%									
43			0	penMF		Parallel Parallel	Efficiency Efficiency	60-8 40-6	<mark>80%</mark> 60%	7				ļ
					Parallel Efficiency <40%				Comput	ng and Communicat	on 🔪	1		

Conclusion

- For our user community engineers and natural scientists OpenMP frequently is an interesting alternative, as MPI parallelization would cause much more work.
- Anyway, quite a few MPI codes are running at our site, most of them have been "imported".
- Tools for performance analysis and verfication are critical ingredients of the programming development environment
- OpenMP is useful for multicore architectures.
- But the memory wall will be hitting us even more in the future => CMT.
- OpenMP 3.0 tasking concept will greatly enhance its usability.

