

Case Studies in Dataflow Composition of Scalable High Performance Applications

Justin M Wozniak, Timothy Armstrong, Daniel Katz, Michael Wilde, Ian Foster wozniak@mcs.anl.gov http://swift-lang.org

Workshop on Extreme Scale Programming Tools at SC November 17, 2014 – New Orleans



The Scientific Computing Campaign



Swift addresses most of these components

Software for the Computing Campaign

- Swift: Composing the computational experiment
 - Code coupling
 - Task communication
 - Expressing complex workflows
 - Deploying large workloads
- Performance visualization
 - Debugging and performance analysis for workflows
 - Plotting and visualization
- Case studies
 - Streamline visualization parallel tasks
 - X-ray science remote I/O and analysis

Case Studies in Dataflow Composition of Scalable High Performance Applications

SWIFT OVERVIEW



Goal: Programmability for large scale analysis

- Our solution is "many-task" computing: higher-level applications composed of many run-to-completion tasks: input->compute->output
 Message passing is handled by our implementation details
- Programmability
 - Large number of applications have this natural structure at upper levels: Parameter studies, ensembles, Monte Carlo, branch-and-bound, stochastic programming, UQ
 - Coupling extreme-scale applications to preprocessing, analysis, and visualization
- Data-driven computing
 - Dataflow-based execution models
 - Data organization tools in the programming languages
- Challenges
 - Load balancing, data movement, expressibility

Practical context: The Swift language

Swift was designed to handle many aspects of the computing campaign

- Ability to integrate many application components into a new workflow application
- Data structures for complex data organization
- Portability- separate site-specific configuration from application logic
- Logging, provenance, and plotting features



6

Swift programming model: all progress driven by concurrent dataflow

```
(int r) myproc (int i, int j)
{
    int f = F(i);
    int g = G(j);
    r = f + g;
}
```

- F() and G() implemented in native code or external programs
- F() and G() run in concurrently in different processes
- r is computed when they are both done
- This parallelism is *automatic*
- Works recursively throughout the program's call graph

More concurrency: Loops and arrays



- Each task is a simulator invocation
- Generates millions of tasks

foreach p, i in proteins {

foreach c, j in ligands {

(structure[i,j], log[i,j]) =

dock(p, c, minRad, maxRad);

O(10) proteins implicated in a disease

O(100K) drug candidates

> = 1M docking tasks

Tens of fruitful candidates for wetlab & APS

} scatter plot = analyze(structure)

Large-scale many-task applications using Swift

- Simulation of metals under stress
- Molecular dynamics: NAMD
- Molecular dynamics: LAMMPS
- X-ray scattering data aggregation
- X-ray imaging analysis
- Multiscale subsurface flow modeling
- Modeling of the power grid
- Climate data extraction
- ... and many more



Swift/T: Swift for high-performance computing



Centralized evaluation

Distributed evaluation

Swift/T: Enabling high-performance workflows

- Write site-independent scripts
- Automatic parallelization and data movement
- Run native code, script fragments as applications
- Rapidly subdivide large partitions for MPI jobs



Move work to data locations



Dataflow script produces work for work queue



- Including MPI libraries
- We use a Scioto-like algorithm for hierarchical work-stealing among ADLB servers (ADLB/X, our fork of ADLB)

Characteristics of very large Swift programs

```
int X = 100, Y = 100;
int A[][];
int B[];
foreach x in [0:X-1] {
  foreach y in [0:Y-1] {
    if (check(x, y)) {
        A[x][y] = g(f(x), f(y));
        } else {
            A[x][y] = 0;
        }
        B[x] = sum(A[x]);
```

- The goal is to support billion-way concurrency: O(10⁹)
- Swift script logic will control trillions of variables and data dependent tasks
- Need to distribute Swift logic processing over the HPC compute system

Basic scalability



- 1.5 billion tasks/s on 512K cores of Blue Waters, so far
- See our SC 2014 paper for comprehensive performance analysis

Swift/T: Fully parallel evaluation of complex scripts

```
int X = 100, Y = 100;
int A[][];
int B[];
foreach x in [0:X-1] {
  foreach y in [0:Y-1] {
    if (check(x, y)) {
        A[x][y] = g(f(x), f(y));
        } else {
            A[x][y] = 0;
        }
        B[x] = sum(A[x]);
    }
```



Example execution

Code

$$A[2] = f(getenv("N"));$$

$$A[3] = g(A[2]);$$

Engines: evaluate dataflow operations



• Wozniak et al. Turbine: A distributed-memory dataflow engine for high performance many-task applications. Fundamenta Informaticae 128(3), 2013

Swift code in dataflow

- Dataflow definitions create nodes in the dataflow graph
- Dataflow assignments create edges
- In typical (DAG) workflow languages, this forms a static graph
- In Swift, the graph can grow dynamically code fragments are evaluated (conditionally) as a result of dataflow
- In its early implementation, these fragments were just tasks



Support calls to embedded interpreters



• Wozniak et al. Toward computational experiment management via multi-language applications. Proc. ASCR SWP4XS, 2014.

STC: The Swift-Turbine Compiler



- STC (based on ANTLR) translates Swift expressions into low-level Turbine operations:
- Create/Store/Retrieve typed data
- Manage arrays
- Manage data-dependent tasks
- Wozniak et al. Large-scale application composition via distributed-memory data flow processing. Proc. CCGrid 2013.
- Armstrong et al. Compiler techniques for massively scalable implicit task parallelism. Proc. SC 2014.

Can we build a Makefile in Swift?

- User wants to test a variety of compiler optimizations
- Compile set of codes under wide range of possible configurations
- Run each compiled code to obtain performance numbers
- Run this at large scale on a supercomputer (Cray XE6)
- In Make you say:

```
CFLAGS = ...
f.o : f.c
gcc $(CFLAGS) f.c -o f.o
```

In Swift you say:

```
string cflags[] = ...;
f_o = gcc(f_c, cflags);
```

CHEW example code

<u>Apps</u>

}

```
app (object_file o) gcc(c_file c, string cflags[]) {
    // Example:
    // gcc -c -O2 -o f.o f.c
```

```
"gcc" "-c" cflags "-o" o c;
}
```

```
app (x_file x) Id(object_file o[], string Idflags[]) {
// Example:
```

```
// gcc -o f.x f1.o f2.o ...
"gcc" ldflags "-o" x o;
}
```

```
app (output_file o) run(x_file x) {

"sh" "-c" x @stdout=o;

}
```

```
app (timing_file t) extract(output_file o) {
    "tail" "-1" o "|" "cut" "-f" "2" "-d" " " @stdout=t;
```

Swift code

string program_name = "programs/program1.c"; c_file c = input(program_name);

// For each
foreach O_level in [0:3] {
 make file names...
 // Construct compiler flags
 string O_flag = sprintf("-O%i", O_level);
 string cflags[] = ["-fPIC", O_flag];

object_file o<my_object> = gcc(c, cflags); object_file objects[] = [o]; string ldflags[] = []; // Link the program x_file x<my_executable> = ld(objects, ldflags); // Run the program output_file out<my_output> = run(x); // Extract the run time from the program output timing_file t<my_time> = extract(out);

Case Studies in Dataflow Composition of Scalable High Performance Applications

PERFORMANCE TOOLS

Logging and debugging in Swift

- Traditionally, Swift programs are debugged through the log or the TUI (text user interface)
- Logs were produced using normal methods, containing:
 - Variable names and values as set with respect to thread
 - Calls to Swift functions
 - Calls to application code
- A restart log could be produced to restart a large Swift run after certain fault conditions
- Methods require single Swift site: do not scale to larger runs

Logging in MPI

- The Message Passing Environment (MPE)
- Common approach to logging MPI programs
- Can log MPI calls or application events can store arbitrary data
- Can visualize log with Jumpshot
- Partial logs are stored at the site of each process
 - Written as necessary to shared file system
 - in large blocks
 - in parallel
 - Results are merged into a big log file (CLOG, SLOG)
- Work has been done optimize the file format for various queries



Logging in Swift & MPI

- Now, combine it together
- Allows user to track down erroneous Swift program logic
- Use MPE to log data, task operations, calls to native code
- Use MPE metadata to annotate events for later queries
- MPE cannot be used to debug native MPI programs that abort
 - On program abort, the MPE log is not flushed from the process-local cache
 - Cannot reconstruct final fatal events
- MPE can be used to debug Swift application programs that abort
 - We finalize MPE before aborting Swift
 - (Does not help much when developing Swift itself)
 - But primary use case is non-fatal arithmetic/logic errors

Visualization of Swift/T execution

- User writes and runs Swift script
- Notices that native application code is called with nonsensical inputs
- Turns on MPE logging visualizes with MPE



- PIPS task computation Store variable Notification (via control task)
 Blue: Get next task Retrieve variable
 Server process (handling of control task is highlighted in yellow)
- Color cluster is task transition:
- Simpler than visualizing messaging pattern (which is not the user's code!)
- Represents Von Neumann computing model load, compute, store

- COMPUTE -

Debugging Swift/T execution

- Starting from GUI, user can identify erroneous task
 - Uses time and rank coordinates from task metadata
- Can identify variables used as task inputs
- Can trace provenance of those variables back in reverse dataflow



• Wozniak et al. A model for tracing and debugging large-scale taskparallel programs with MPE. Proc. LASH-C at PPoPP, 2013.

Case Studies in Dataflow Composition of Scalable High Performance Applications

CASE STUDIES

Dataflow+data-parallel analysis/visualization



Parameter optimization for data-parallel analysis: Block factor



8 processes I block per process 4 processes 2 blocks per process l process 8 blocks per process

Can map blocks to processes in varying ways

Parameter optimization for data-parallel analysis: Process configurations



- Try all configurations to find best performance
- Goal: Rapidly develop and execute sweep of MPI executions

Refresher: MPI_Comm_create_group()

- In MPI 2, creating a subcommunicator was collective over the parent communicator
 - Required global coordination
 - Scalability concern
 - (Could use intercommunicator merges- somewhat slow)
- In MPI 3, the new MPI_Comm_create_group() allows the implementation to assemble the new communicator quickly from a group – only group members must participate
 - In ADLB, servers just pass rank list for new group to workers
- Motivating investigation by Dinan et al. identified fault tolerance and dynamic load balancing as key use cases – both relevant to Swift (Dinan et al., EuroMPI 2011.)

Parallel tasks in Swift/T

- Swift expression: z = @par=8 f(x,y);
- When x, y are stored, Turbine releases task f with parallelism=8
- Performs ADLB_Put(f, parallelism=8)
- Each worker performs ADLB_Get(&task, &comm)
- ADLB server finds 8 available workers
- Workers receive ranks from server
 - Perform MPI_Comm_create_group
- ADLB_Get() returns: task=f, size(comm)=8
- Workers perform user task
 - communicate on comm
- comm is released by Turbine



• Wozniak et al. Dataflow coordination of data-parallel tasks via MPI 3.0. Proc EuroMPI, 2013.

OSUFlow application

// Define call to OSUFlow feature MpiDraw
@par (float t) mpidraw(int bf) "mpidraw";

```
main {
  foreach b in [0:7] {
    // Block factor: 1-128
    bf = round(2^{**}b);
    foreach n in [4:9] {
      // Number of processes/task: 16-512
      np = round(2^{**}n);
      t = @par=np mpidraw(bf);
      printf("RESULT: bf=%i np=%i -> time=%0.3f",
                      bf, np, t);
    }}
```





Advanced Photon Source (APS)

- Moves electrons at electrons at >99.999999% of the speed of light.
- Magnets bend electron trajectories, producing x-rays, highly focused onto a small area
- X-rays strike targets in 35 different laboratories each a lead-lined, radiation-proof experiment station



Data management for the energy sciences

"Despite the central role of digital data in Dept. of Energy (DOE) research, the methods used to manage these data and to support the information and collaboration processes that underpin DOE research are often surprisingly primitive..."

- DOE Workshop Report on Scientific Collaborations (2011)

- Our goals:
 - Modify the operating systems of APS stations to allow real-time streaming to a novel data storage/analysis platform.
 - Converting data from the standard detector formats (usually TIFF) to HDF5 and adding metadata and provenance, based on the NeXus data format.
 - Rewrite analysis operations to work in a massively parallel environment.
 - Scale up simulation codes that complement analysis.

Data ingest/analysis/archive



Interactive analysis powered by scalable storage



Replace GUI analysis internals with operations on remote data

Remote matrix arithmetic: Initial results

- Initial run shows performance issue: addition took too long
- Swift profiling isolated issue: convert addition routine from script to C function: obtained 10,000 X speedup
- Swift/T integrates with MPE/Jumpshot and other MPI-based performance analysis techniques





Future work: Extreme scale ensembles

- Develop Swift for exascale experiment ensembles
 - Deploy stateful, varying sized jobs
 - Outermost, experiment-level coordination via dataflow
 - Plug in experiments and human-in-the-loop models (dataflow filters)



Summary

- Swift: High-level scripting for outermost programming constructs
 - Handles many aspects of the scientific computing experience
 - Described how logs enable performance visualization
 - Showed use cases in streamline visualization and X-ray science
- Thanks to the Swift team: Mike Wilde, Ketan Maheshwari, Tim Armstrong, David Kelly, Yadu Nand, Mihael Hategan, Scott Krieder, Ioan Raicu, Dan Katz, Ian Foster
- Thanks to project collaborators: Tom Peterka, Jim Dinan, Ray Osborn, Reinhard Neder, Guy Jennings, Hemant Sharma, Rachana Ananthakrishnan, Ben Blaiszik, Kyle Chard, and others
- Thanks to the organizers!
- Questions?

